IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

Criminal Miscellaneous No.51375-M of 2006
Date of Decision : August 29, 2006

Navdeep Rathore & Another.

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Haryana.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

PRESENT:- Ms. Deipa Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

. . .

AJAI LAMBA, J.

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for bail in a case lodged under Sections 406, 409, 420, 468, 467 and 120-B IPC.

It has been argued that the petitioners were not involved and were not responsible for the public works done. It was a contract and the assessment of the material used has been made after two years.

I have gone through the contents of the FIR. I find that different work was done in different parks in Panchkula. 17 parks in Sector 4, 7, 10, 11, 12, 12-A, 14 and 15 were undertaken for laying of tiles, construction of footpaths, levelling work, white wash and painting etc. The FIR is based on an inquiry report and the names of the petitioners figure as the persons being responsible for work which was found to be sub-standard after analysis of the material and receipt of technical report from the FSL,

Madhuban.

Considering the nature of offence and the fact that sub-standard work done by the petitioners has adversely affected the public at large, no ground for bail is made out.

Dismissed.

(AJAI LAMBA)
JUDGE

AUGUST 29, 2006
avin